

CAUSE NO. 3041561

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

RHONDA LEE GLOVER

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

[167TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT]

BOND REDUCTION HEARING
VOLUME 2 OF 18 VOLUMES

Rhonda Lee Glover, 1355639
Dr. Lane Murray Unit—TDCJ-CID
1916 North Highway 36 Bypass
Gatesville, Texas 76596-0001

DR. DAVID RHODEN, DDS

Rhoden

David H. Rhoden, D.D.S.
General Dentistry

- Complete Implant Treatment with Surgery and Restorative Placement
- Advanced Contemporary Esthetic Diagnosis and Treatment Baylor College of Dentistry
- Master Aesthetic Dentistry Las Vegas Institute for Advanced Dental Studies
- Board Certified in Implant Dentistry by ABCI
- Diplomate American Board of Oral Implantology
- Fellow Misch Implant Institute
- Fellow International Congress of Oral Implantology
- Fellow American Academy of Implant Dentistry
- Comprehensive Restorative and Surgical Adult Dentistry
- National and International Lecturer on Patient Treatment and Management
- Bachelor of Science Degree Stephen F. Austin State College
- Doctor of Dental Surgery University of Tennessee
- Zoom Laser Teeth Whitening
- Specialize in Sedation Dentistry
- Biolase Waterlase Laser National Lecturer
- Botox Facial Enhancement Injections
- American Dental Association
- Over 20 Years Experience

A long time friend that wanted to testify on my behalf at trial was not given the opportunity even after numerous calls to my former attorney, Sawyer Haynes.

Karen Hollenbeck
Investigator
600 Jefferson #1000
Houston, Texas 77002

June 30, 2011

Dear Ms Karen Hollenbeck,

This letter is in regard to Rhonda Glover #1355639 who is serving a prison sentence in Gatesville Texas. I would like to share some facts with you in regard to Rhonda Glover.

I have known Miss Glover for 22 years. I volunteered for testimony in her bond hearing in Austin when she was arrested. Her attorney "Sawyer" called me three months prior to her trial to ask me if I would testify on behalf of Rhonda Glover. I said I would and marked the tentative dates on my office calendar. I know I had to share some facts on behalf of Miss Glover that I felt the court should be aware of, plus I wanted to be a character witness for Miss Glover. Mr. Sawyer never called me when the trial approached. My calls to his office and my secretary's calls to inquire about when I was to testify were never returned. Neither Racehorse Haynes nor Lanza ever called me. I feel Miss Glover never received a fair trial and was represented in an extremely negligent manner. I interview with the television program "Snapped" to help in Miss Glover possible retrial. Ms Hollenbeck, Miss Glover had been threatened many times by Mr. Joste. Important information should have been revealed in the original trial and Mr. Sawyer poorly represented her.

I appreciate your time.

Sincerely,


David H. Rhoden, DDS

A Commitment to Excellence

XX
XX

CAUSE NO. 3041561

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

RHONDA LEE GLOVER

§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

[167TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT]

See Dr. Rhoden's testimony which

**BOND REDUCTION HEARING
VOLUME 2 OF 18 VOLUMES**

*would have helped me @ trial.
Sawyer never returned his calls!*

Rhonda Lee Glover, 1355639
Dr. Lane Murray Unit-TDCJ-CID
1916 North Highway 36 Bypass
Gatesville, Texas 76596-0001

R E P O R T E R ' S R E C O R D

VOLUME 2 OF 18 VOLUMES

TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 3041561

THE STATE OF TEXAS * IN THE DISTRICT COURT
VS. * TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
RHONDA GLOVER * 167TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

BOND REDUCTION HEARING

BE IT REMEMBERED on the 25th day of April, 2005,
the following proceedings came on to be heard in the
above-entitled and numbered cause before the Honorable
Mike Lynch, Judge Presiding, held in Austin, Travis County,
Texas:

Proceedings reported by Stenographic Shorthand Machine
Method.

ORIGINAL

00:03:24 1 the time you started it?

00:03:25 2 A. Yes, until approximately the latter part of the

00:03:34 3 '90s, maybe around '99, around '99 I would say.

00:03:39 4 Q. And in '99 what happened? Did you break it off or

00:03:44 5 what happened in '99?

00:03:46 6 A. Well, we were -- we would see one another -- I was

00:03:49 7 living at that time in Waco, Texas and she was living in

00:03:52 8 Houston, and just geographically, we were apart

00:03:58 9 distance-wise, and I would see Rhonda on occasion once a

00:04:03 10 month, sometimes twice a month, sometimes, you know, maybe

00:04:07 11 more often than that, then there may be a couple of months

00:04:10 12 where we wouldn't see one another just because, I mean my

00:04:14 13 time schedule and she was working et cetera, like this, and

00:04:18 14 we were -- had a relationship but we weren't like just one

00:04:23 15 and the same person all the time, if you know what I am

00:04:28 16 getting at, so that was basically how we did -- how I saw her

00:04:35 17 and was with Rhonda.

00:04:37 18 Q. During that time, did you ever know Rhonda to be a

00:04:41 19 violent person?

00:04:41 20 A. No, sir.

00:04:41 21 Q. Did you ever think she posed a threat to anybody

00:04:46 22 that she knew during the time that you knew Rhonda?

00:04:48 23 A. No, never.

00:04:49 24 Q. After '99, did you -- at some point did you see her

00:04:53 25 up until around 2001?

00:04:55 1 A. The last time I saw Rhonda was July of 2001 here in

00:04:59 2 Austin. We went out, and I carried on a contact with her,

00:05:05 3 you know, by phone, a lot during that time, you know.

00:05:08 4 Q. So even if you didn't see her, you stayed in touch

00:05:11 5 where her?

00:05:12 6 A. Oh, yeah, oh, yeah.

00:05:14 7 Q. By phone, e-mail?

00:05:15 8 A. Some by e-mail, uh-huh.

00:05:17 9 Q. Were you familiar that Rhonda -- how did she

00:05:21 10 support herself?

00:05:22 11 A. She was an insurance head hunter, by that she found

00:05:27 12 clients for insurance companies that needed employees,

00:05:31 13 actuaries, different type of people in the insurance

00:05:35 14 business.

00:05:36 15 Q. Did she seem to be able to support herself or was

00:05:39 16 she relying on somebody else to support her?

00:05:41 17 A. Entire time that I knew Rhonda, she was a very

00:05:46 18 independent business lady, had her own business, seemed very

00:05:51 19 confident, very aggressive in business, very go-getter, you

00:05:57 20 know. She was always looking for the next client and trying

00:06:00 21 to position that person. She never -- she was always very

00:06:05 22 delightful, very attractive, you know, knew everybody. Just

00:06:14 23 like was, you know, very upstanding person.

00:06:17 24 MR. O'CONNELL: Excuse me. Your Honor, may we

00:06:19 25 go ahead and invoke the rule at this time, please?

00:06:24 1 THE COURT: All right. The witnesses that

00:06:27 2 were previously sworn, State has invoked the rule. That

00:06:30 3 means you must remain outside the courtroom until it comes

00:06:34 4 your time to testify and not to speak to anyone about the

00:06:37 5 case except the lawyers. I'm sorry, I need to do that at

00:06:41 6 this time based on their recommendation or request. Thank

00:06:43 7 you.

00:06:43 8 Q. (By Mr. Grigson) Dr. Rhoden, you understand Rhonda

00:06:48 9 is here charged with a very serious crime, don't you?

00:06:50 10 A. Yes, sir.

00:06:51 11 Q. You understand the person she is charged with

00:06:54 12 shooting is Jimmy Joste?

00:06:56 13 A. Yes.

00:06:58 14 Q. Did you see her during the time she had an on again

00:07:01 15 off again relationship with Jimmy Joste?

00:07:02 16 A. Yes.

00:07:03 17 Q. Your relationship was on again off again at the

00:07:07 18 same time she was with him?

00:07:08 19 A. Oftentimes, yes.

00:07:09 20 Q. Do you know that she has got a son?

00:07:11 21 A. Yes.

00:07:11 22 Q. What sort of relationship was her son like? First

00:07:17 23 of all, how old is he?

00:07:18 24 A. Ten.

00:07:19 25 Q. What is her relationship with her son?

00:07:21 1 A. Well, I was -- I knew Rhonda and saw her during the

00:07:30 2 time that she had her son, but she was one of the most

00:07:39 3 motivating -- she doted on her son all the time. She always

00:07:45 4 found -- gave him the best of care, best instructors,

00:07:49 5 teachers. She was a highly motivated person to the child.

00:07:54 6 Whenever the child did something, she always encouraged him

00:07:56 7 do this and how good he was, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

00:07:59 8 She was even -- the times that she would go out, she was very

00:08:02 9 reluctant to even get a baby-sitter because she just loved

00:08:06 10 her son so much, and she was very I mean -- I couldn't -- I

00:08:13 11 did not and have not met a person that was more respectful or

00:08:19 12 more loving of a child than Rhonda Glover was.

00:08:24 13 Q. Did you see Rhonda just in the last couple of

00:08:27 14 months?

00:08:28 15 A. Yes.

00:08:29 16 Q. Where did you see her?

00:08:30 17 A. I went to Vernon, Texas and saw her.

00:08:33 18 Q. And when you visited her at Vernon, what did you

00:08:38 19 think about Rhonda's state of mind? Did she appear to be

00:08:40 20 okay to you?

00:08:41 21 A. Yes, very impressive. In fact, she had made me a

00:08:45 22 bird house made out of wood that was quite intricate, but

00:08:52 23 anyway, yes, I was there a little over an hour, visited with

00:08:56 24 her and was very impressed with her.

00:08:59 25 Q. Did it bother her quite a bit to be incarcerated

00:09:03 1 and away from her son and not able to see him?
 00:09:05 2 A. Absolutely.
 00:09:08 3 Q. And did you understand that she had been
 00:09:08 4 incarcerated since July when you saw her?
 00:09:10 5 A. Yes.
 00:09:11 6 Q. Does she seem, when you saw her, to be able to
 00:09:15 7 understand the nature of the proceedings that were going on
 00:09:18 8 and her ability to talk and discuss the case with people; in
 00:09:22 9 other words, was she competent in your opinion?
 00:09:24 10 A. Absolutely.
 00:09:26 11 Q. Did she indicate in any way to you that if she is
 00:09:30 12 ever released on bond that she would do anything to violate
 00:09:34 13 any condition of a bond that the judge might impose?
 00:09:37 14 A. Never.
 00:09:38 15 Q. Do you think that if the judge set a bond in a
 00:09:41 16 fashion that she could make that -- and required Rhonda to
 00:09:47 17 say report to a pretrial services officer daily or weekly or
 00:09:52 18 whatever, that Rhonda would do that?
 00:09:54 19 A. I believe so.
 00:09:55 20 Q. Do you think that if the judge required her to wear
 00:09:59 21 an electronic monitor or bracelet that she would do that?
 00:10:03 22 A. Absolutely.
 00:10:03 23 Q. Did she give you any indication when you saw her at
 00:10:07 24 Vernon that she had any intention of doing anything other
 00:10:11 25 than trying to defend herself in this case?

00:10:14 1 A. Aggressively defending herself, absolutely.
 00:10:24 2 MR. GRIGSON: I will pass the witness.
 00:10:28 3 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**
 00:10:28 4 Questions by Mr. O'Connell:
 00:10:28 5 Q. Good morning, doctor. Would you please spell your
 00:10:31 6 last name?
 00:10:31 7 A. R-H-O-D-E-N.
 00:10:38 8 Q. And may I have your date of birth?
 00:10:38 9 A. 7/10/44.
 00:10:41 10 Q. July 10, 1944?
 00:10:44 11 A. Yes, sir.
 00:10:50 12 Q. Had you ever been around the defendant in this case
 00:10:52 13 and the victim Jimmy Joste together?
 00:10:57 14 A. Never.
 00:10:57 15 Q. So you have no knowledge about the type of
 00:11:00 16 relationship the defendant had with the victim?
 00:11:02 17 A. Only --
 00:11:04 18 Q. Only through what she told you?
 00:11:05 19 A. Right.
 00:11:06 20 Q. No personal observations?
 00:11:08 21 A. None.
 00:11:08 22 Q. Have you ever known the defendant to possess a
 00:11:10 23 handgun or any gun?
 00:11:12 24 A. Never.
 00:11:12 25 Q. Have you ever known her during the time you have

00:11:17 1 had a relationship with her to take handgun courses or learn
 00:11:20 2 how to shoot a handgun?
 00:11:22 3 A. No, sir.
 00:11:22 4 Q. Have you ever seen her use crack cocaine or any
 00:11:25 5 other drug?
 00:11:26 6 A. Never, never, not one drug.
 00:11:30 7 Q. All right. So if you learned that at the time of
 00:11:34 8 this murder, the defendant not only possessed a handgun but
 00:11:40 9 was using crack cocaine, would that be new information to
 00:11:42 10 you?
 00:11:42 11 A. Absolutely.
 00:11:43 12 Q. Would that affect your recommendation to the judge
 00:11:45 13 about whether or not the defendant should be released on
 00:11:48 14 bond?
 00:11:48 15 A. No, sir, not at this time.
 00:11:51 16 Q. Really?
 00:11:54 17 THE COURT: Is that a question?
 00:11:58 18 MR. O'CONNELL: I can move on, your Honor.
 00:12:07 19 Q. Do you know what if any connection the defendant
 00:12:10 20 has with the State of Kansas?
 00:12:12 21 A. Other than the fact that she was arrested there.
 00:12:15 22 Q. You can't think of any other reason that she would
 00:12:17 23 be in Kansas, can you?
 00:12:20 24 A. No, sir.
 00:12:31 25 Q. Had you ever met the victim in this case, Jimmy

00:12:35 1 Joste?
 00:12:35 2 A. Yes.
 00:12:35 3 Q. And how did you happen to meet him?
 00:12:37 4 A. I was visiting a friend of mine in Houston, Texas,
 00:12:41 5 and this is probably three or four years before I met Rhonda
 00:12:47 6 and he was at my friend's house with another girl, whose name
 00:12:53 7 happened to be Rhonda too, and it was just a get-together for
 00:12:58 8 lunch or something like that, and that is when I met him at
 00:12:59 9 that time.
 00:12:59 10 Q. And can you tell me the name of the friend that
 00:13:01 11 introduced you all?
 00:13:03 12 A. Yes, John B-A-L-L-I-S, John Ballis.
 00:13:12 13 Q. So as I understand it, the last time you saw the
 00:13:16 14 defendant besides your visit to Vernon's and here in the
 00:13:21 15 courtroom would have been July of 2001?
 00:13:23 16 A. Correct.
 00:13:23 17 Q. And you may have already answered this, but was
 00:13:26 18 that here in Austin?
 00:13:27 19 A. Yes.
 00:13:27 20 Q. Was that at her home?
 00:13:29 21 A. No, sir. It was not at her home. It was at
 00:13:34 22 restaurant, a -- like a Japanese sushi Asian fusion type
 00:13:47 23 restaurant. I can't remember exactly the name.
 00:13:50 24 Q. Have you ever visited her home here in Austin?
 00:13:53 25 A. Yes.

00:13:54 1 Q. What address was that roughly?
 00:13:56 2 A. It was in Lakeway. She had a home in Lakeway.
 00:14:01 3 Q. And who else was there at the house when you
 00:14:03 4 visited?
 00:14:04 5 A. Her son.

00:14:21 6 MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, sir. No further
 00:14:22 7 questions, your Honor.

00:14:24 8 THE COURT: Anything further?

00:14:24 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

00:14:27 10 Questions by Mr. Grigson:

00:14:27 11 Q. Dr. Rhoden, you understand that what Rhonda is
 00:14:30 12 charged with, and your testimony would still be the same as
 00:14:34 13 far as -- you think that Rhonda, other than what she is
 00:14:36 14 charged with in this case, has ever shown any tendencies to
 00:14:42 15 be violent or aggression to any other person?

00:14:45 16 A. No way, physically, no way.

00:14:48 17 Q. And do you still believe after your visit with
 00:14:52 18 Rhonda in Vernon that she would comply with any conditions
 00:14:56 19 that were set by this court on a bond if you were to reduce
 00:14:58 20 the bond that she could make?

00:15:01 21 A. Sir, that is my belief, yes.

00:15:03 22 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

00:15:04 23 Questions by Mr. O'Connell:

00:15:04 24 Q. Is your belief so strongly that you would be
 00:15:07 25 willing to put up all or part of your dentist practice to

00:15:10 1 secure that bond?

00:15:16 2 A. Is that a question that I have to follow up with?

00:15:21 3 Q. Absolutely, because here is why I am asking.

00:15:24 4 THE COURT: Wait a minute. I am not sure he
 00:15:26 5 understands. Is he going to have to bring his practice down
 00:15:30 6 here and hand it over to you?

00:15:32 7 Q. Are you willing to secure her attendance in court,
 00:15:35 8 her bond, with your personal assets?

00:15:40 9 A. Would I be held responsible to do it if I said yes?

00:15:45 10 Q. If she said yes and you didn't show up, you would
 00:15:48 11 forfeit those assets. Are you willing to do that?

00:15:52 12 A. Are you asking me that I put up the bond?

00:15:54 13 Q. Yes, sir, exactly.

00:15:56 14 A. I wouldn't do that.

00:15:57 15 Q. Why not?

00:15:58 16 A. Well, you have to realize I have known Rhonda for
 00:16:03 17 15 years. I have not had a relationship with her for five
 00:16:07 18 years, basically, okay. During that period of time, I had
 00:16:11 19 remarried, okay, and I was asked to come here to give my
 00:16:16 20 assessment of Rhonda based on what I knew about her, okay,
 00:16:22 21 and what I have known about her is what I have explained to
 00:16:26 22 you-all.

00:16:27 23 Now, like I say, I did see her in June -- In July
 00:16:31 24 of 2001 and I saw her in Vernon just six weeks or something
 00:16:38 25 like ago. My perception is what I have told you about. I

00:16:42 1 believe beforehand, without a doubt, she was a nonviolent
 00:16:48 2 person. I still believe she is a nonviolent person. Her
 00:16:50 3 situation in Vernon, contrary to what I had read like in the
 00:16:55 4 newspaper in regard to this case, okay, was 180 degrees from
 00:16:56 5 what I had read, you know, and her explanation of events, et
 00:17:04 6 cetera, like there was plausible, so to say -- I am of a firm
 00:17:13 7 belief that if she was allowed to be released on a lower bond
 00:17:17 8 that she would aggressively help to defend her case and she
 00:17:21 9 would not depart the country and she would, like I say, try
 00:17:25 10 to be as close to her son, and as far as me putting up the
 00:17:29 11 bail money, I still say no.

00:17:31 12 Q. Let me ask you another question. You mentioned you
 00:17:34 13 spoke with her about what happened in this case. What did
 00:17:38 14 she tell you?

00:17:38 15 A. What did she tell me about the case?

00:17:40 16 Q. Did she tell you she killed her common law or
 00:17:44 17 friend Jimmy Joyce?

00:17:45 18 A. Yes. She didn't say it outright because that was
 00:17:50 19 like an assumption we both thought. What she told me, sir,
 00:17:55 20 was -- and a lot of this fell into the perception that I had
 00:18:01 21 had, even the times like when I was not with Rhonda over the
 00:18:06 22 period of time when I was not actually seeing her, she
 00:18:08 23 expressed a fear of Jimmy Joyce.

00:18:11 24 Q. Okay.

00:18:12 25 A. You know. That was one.

00:18:16 1 Q. In order to keep this hearing --

00:18:18 2 A. Prior to her ever having the altercation with him.

00:18:23 3 Q. What did she tell you about killing Jimmy Joste?

00:18:27 4 A. She said that she -- she led it up to this. She
 00:18:35 5 said that over the past year or two or something like that,
 00:18:39 6 she had called Austin police because he had violated a
 00:18:48 7 restraining order that she had on him a number of times, and
 00:18:51 8 the police department had never done anything to I guess
 00:18:58 9 imprison him, et cetera, like that, and she was very fearful
 00:19:03 10 of him. That once he tried to run her off the road and
 00:19:06 11 actually took a shot at her out of the window of a car at
 00:19:11 12 her. He -- feared for her.

00:19:13 13 She at the time, she had -- at the time of the
 00:19:16 14 shooting, that she had stopped by her home briefly because
 00:19:21 15 she had rented an RV vehicle and was going on a trip with her
 00:19:30 16 son, and she had stopped by the home to stop, get something,
 00:19:36 17 or drop off something, and he surprised her there at the home
 00:19:39 18 and was aggressive toward her to where she feared for her
 00:19:44 19 life and she shot him. That is what I basically got out of
 00:19:46 20 it.

00:19:48 21 Q. Did she elaborate on what aggressive towards her
 00:19:50 22 meant. Did she give you any examples?

00:19:52 23 A. Well, she, she, she said that like he was like
 00:19:58 24 physically threatening her. She didn't go into -- to me I
 00:20:04 25 don't recall her going into actual aggressive detail or he

00:38:06 1 Q. Have you talked to your ex-wife about the fact that
00:39:09 2 he is making honor roll and making good grades?

00:34:36 1 showed up on the -- against the property. I don't know who
00:34:39 2 it is for, what it is or anything about it.
00:34:45 3 Q. You mentioned your daughter's son several times,
00:34:49 4 your grandson, correct?
00:34:50 5 A. Yes.
00:34:50 6 Q. Was there a time when you felt concerned for the
00:34:54 7 safety of that boy and either you or your ex-wife removed him
00:34:59 8 from your daughter's care?
00:35:01 9 A. My ex-wife did, yes, sir.
00:35:03 10 Q. And did you share her concerns about the safety of
00:35:06 11 that child?
00:35:07 12 A. Yes.
00:35:07 13 Q. What were you concerned about, sir?
00:35:10 14 A. Well, I just didn't think it was a safe environment
00:35:14 15 that he was in at the time.
00:35:16 16 Q. Specifically what?
00:35:18 17 A. I don't know a lot about it, I don't -- my wife
00:35:22 18 handled most all of that, sir. I was out of town most of the
00:35:25 19 time.
00:35:25 20 Q. But you said you were -- you shared the concern?
00:35:28 21 A. I shared the concern with her when she was talking
00:35:31 22 with me and discussing with me. I don't know the whole
00:35:35 23 particulars about it. I just talked to her about it a little
00:35:38 24 bit.
00:35:38 25 Q. If you shared the concern, you must have had some

00:35:41 1 feeling.
00:35:43 2 THE COURT: One person at a time. This lady
00:35:46 3 has to take down everything you say. Let him finish his
00:35:50 4 question and you give your answer and we will keep it all
00:35:52 5 sorted.
00:35:53 6 Q. What about the situation made you concerned it
00:35:56 7 might not be safe for the child?
00:35:59 8 A. Just some things I talked to my ex-wife she had
00:36:06 9 mentioned to me about. I did not know any of the particulars
00:36:10 10 about it, I did not get off into it. I am going by hearsay
00:36:14 11 what she said. I don't know.
00:36:15 12 Q. I am asking you what were you concerned about?
00:36:25 13 A. I didn't like the way he was home schooled at the
00:36:30 14 time, and that was my main concern, that I think he needed to
00:36:35 15 be in school. That was my main concern, that he needed to be
00:36:38 16 in school.
00:36:39 17 Q. Did you support your ex-wife's removal of the child
00:36:44 18 from your daughter's care?
00:36:45 19 A. I did not.
00:36:46 20 Q. You did not?
00:36:47 21 A. No.
00:36:48 22 Q. So the only thing you were concerned about in terms
00:36:51 23 of health, safety and welfare of that child was the fact that
00:36:54 24 he was being home schooled?
00:36:56 25 A. Right.

00:41:30 1 years.
00:41:31 2 Q. How would you describe their relationship in terms
00:41:34 3 of did they live together the entire time?

00:38:56 1 Q. You weren't concerned about whether or not anybody
00:38:59 2 was using drugs in the home?
00:37:00 3 A. I didn't know anybody were.
00:37:03 4 Q. You weren't concerned that there might have been
00:37:05 5 some bizarre religious practices going on in that home?
00:37:09 6 A. I did not know anything about that. I heard about
00:37:15 7 it, but I did not know about it firsthand.
00:37:16 8 Q. What had you heard?
00:37:18 9 A. Just that there was talking about John Chandler
00:37:27 10 being the chosen one, I believe is what it was.
00:37:30 11 Q. Okay. Chosen one was in second coming of Jesus
00:37:34 12 Christ?
00:37:35 13 A. Right.
00:37:35 14 Q. Had you heard anything about drug use in that
00:37:38 15 house?
00:37:38 16 A. No, I did not.
00:37:41 17 Q. Have you talked to your daughter about this
00:37:46 18 shooting?
00:37:48 19 A. No, I have not.
00:38:04 20 MR. O'CONNELL: Pass the witness, your Honor.
00:38:04 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
00:38:06 22 Questions by Mr. Grigson:
00:38:07 23 Q. Mr. Glover, as far as what your knowledge of, your
00:38:10 24 knowledge about what was going on over there on Mission Oaks,
00:38:14 25 you gained your knowledge basically from talking to your

00:38:18 1 ex-wife Sherlyn?
00:38:19 2 A. Yes, I did.
00:38:20 3 Q. Anything that dealt with trying to see about the
00:38:22 4 situation with John Chandler was left up to her?
00:38:25 5 A. Yes, it was.
00:38:26 6 Q. And so she took over and did everything?
00:38:29 7 A. She done everything. I did not do any of it.
00:38:32 8 Q. She would have more knowledge what was going on
00:38:34 9 over there?
00:38:34 10 A. Yes, sir.
00:38:35 11 Q. And even she hadn't been over there to visit in the
00:38:39 12 house, had she?
00:38:40 13 A. No, she had not.
00:38:41 14 Q. So her knowledge would be gained either from
00:38:44 15 talking to Rhonda or to Jimmy?
00:38:47 16 A. Yes, sir.
00:38:55 17 Q. Are you familiar -- you said you were concerned
00:38:57 18 about his home schooling?
00:38:59 19 A. Yes.
00:38:59 20 Q. Rhonda was home schooling him?
00:39:01 21 A. Yes, sir.
00:39:01 22 Q. And you thought he ought to be in a regular school?
00:39:04 23 A. Yes, sir.
00:39:04 24 Q. Is he in a regular school now?
00:39:06 25 A. Yes, he is.

